

**DRUG COURT PARTNERSHIP PROGRAM
REQUEST FOR APPLICATIONS (RFA)**

I. FINAL FILING DATE AND TIME

Submission of applications to the Department of Alcohol and Drug Programs (ADP) will occur in two phases.

The filing date for **Part 1** submission is

April 12, 1999

No later than 5:00 p.m.

Part 1 submission is to include the following:

- Application Cover Page
- Assurances and Certifications
- Documentation of Collaboration or Partnership Group
- Description of Local Drug Court
- Statement of Need
- Data Collection Capacities
- Project Budget
- Matching Funds

Part 2 submission is to include the following:

- Project Goals and Objectives
- Project Design
- Excess Match

Part 1 submissions will be reviewed for compliance with the RFA requirements. The Department will alert those applicants which fail to meet any of the RFA requirements for **I parts 1 and 2 submission**.

When combined at ADP, parts 1 and 2 submissions will constitute the multi-agency plan required within the Drug Court Partnership Act of 1998.

NO LATE APPLICATIONS WILL BE ACCEPTED.

Applications are considered late on

April 12, after 5:00 p.m..

Applications submitted through facsimile, electronic transfer, or on diskette will not be accepted.

Completed applications must be submitted to:

**Marshal Wilkerson, Supervisor
Special Projects Section
Department of Alcohol and Drug Programs
1700 K Street, Fifth Floor
Sacramento, CA 95814-4037**

Hand delivered applications should be brought directly to the Business Services Section, in room #100.

II. TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE

The Department will provide technical assistance, through telephone conversations, on the material within the phase I part 1 submission. The material within this submission will not effect the application score and may, therefore, be reviewed. Applicants with questions regarding the material within the part 1 submission may contact

Marie August, Project Officer
Special Projects Section
(916) 323-8746

The Department will not accept questions regarding the material within part 2 submissions.

III. ELIGIBILITY REQUIREMENTS

A. Eligible Drug Courts And Defendants

Counties that develop and implement drug courts operating pursuant to Sections 1000 to 1000.4, inclusive, of the Penal Code, and for any defendant who has entered a plea of guilty and is on active probation¹ may apply for funds under the Drug Court Partnership Program. Applications may be submitted to support established or proposed adult drug courts. Established drug courts will be given a weighted preference in the review process.

Juvenile drug courts are not eligible to apply for funding under this program.

B. Applicants

The County Alcohol and Drug Program Administrator and the Presiding Judge(s) of the trial courts in the county have been identified as the formal applicants for funds under this program. In addition, coordination and collaboration with each local partner within the pertinent drug court is required and must be documented within the part 1 submission. Each county may submit only one application.

IV. BACKGROUND

A. Purpose of Funds

The purpose of this RFA is to fund a group of drug courts which will demonstrate, through a state evaluation, the effectiveness of drug courts in maintaining public safety while reducing local and state government costs.

¹ A drug court funded under the Drug Court Partnership Program may contain participants with a variety of legal statuses. However, program funds are restricted to the support of allowable treatment and court costs related to post plea and deferred entry of judgement defendants as defined in the Drug Court Partnership Act of 1998.

B. Source of Funds

The funds for this program were appropriated through the Drug Court Partnership Act of 1998, and will be subject to all the requirements of that act. A copy of the act has been provided in Attachment A.

V. GENERAL INFORMATION

A. Award Amounts

This is a 48-month program with a single competitive application process; after the **first year's** award, annual renewal awards will be contingent upon legislative authority and adequate project progress as determined by ADP and the Judicial Council. If additional funds are appropriated by the Legislature and Governor for Fiscal Year 1999-2000 and subsequent years, ADP, with the concurrence of the Judicial Council, reserves the right to fund additional applications under this RFA, if there are no changes in the funding criteria. ADP reserves the right to fund, by rank order, additional applications which earned passing scores but were below the cut off for disbursement of the original \$3.8 million.

It is ADP's intent to allocate and disburse all of the annual \$3.8 million identified by the Legislature as local assistance. ADP will retain 5 percent of the \$4 million appropriated; these funds will support the evaluation, administrative, and technical assistance activities of the program.

The following table shows the **maximum award amount** per year that is available to each sized county:

<u>Award</u>	<u>County Size</u>
\$125,000	Minimum Base Allocation & Medium
\$400,000	Large

Please see Attachment B for a listing of Counties by Category.

It is anticipated, though not guaranteed, that an annual grand total of \$1.5 million will be awarded to the category of Minimum Base Allocation and Medium Counties and an annual grand total of \$2.3 million will be awarded to the category of Large Counties.

If there are funds remaining within either category after all applications, meeting the minimum scoring requirements (see Section V.K.), within that category are funded, ADP, **with concurrence of the Judicial Council**, may pool the remaining funds, re-rank the unfunded applications into one group and then award the remaining funds to the highest ranking applications. If there continues to be an amount of funding smaller

than any requested application budget, ADP may offer to award the remaining funds to the next highest ranked application. Should that applicant refuse the lesser award, ADP may continue down the ranked list until it identifies an applicant willing to accept a reduced award. In the case that no fundable applicant is willing to accept a reduced award, the remaining funds may be offered to the previously awarded applicants on an equal basis to proportionately increase the activities identified within their original applications.

B. Scope of Services

Drug courts provide direct court supervision, regular drug testing, substance abuse treatment services, and wrap-around services in support of treatment activities.

Drug Court Partnership Program funds are intended to support court and treatment activities directly related to each county's multi-agency plan. The County Alcohol and Drug Program Administrator and Presiding Judge(s) may apply for funding of project elements within the multi-agency plan, that best meet local needs.

C. Inter-Agency Collaboration

A multi-agency plan, developed in collaboration with all the agencies/partners involved in the drug court, must be submitted by the County Alcohol and Drug Program Administrator and the Presiding Judge(s) of the trial courts in the county. This collaboration must be demonstrated in the phase I submission.

D. Multi-Agency Plan

The combination of phase I and phase II submissions to ADP will constitute a multi-agency plan identifying the resources and strategies for providing an effective drug court. Each plan will:

- present a description of all existing drug courts within the county(ies) applying for funds;
- present a statement of unmet need, specific to the drug court(s), for all entities participating in the local drug court effort by use and cost;
- present a description of the drug court(s) to be funded under the Drug Court Partnership Program;
- identify activities to be supported by program funds;
- present budget information;
- present match information;
- demonstrate a wide base of support for the local drug court effort;
- provide appropriate assurances and certifications;
- identify all the entities participating in the local drug court effort;
- outline each party's roles and responsibilities;
- demonstrate a current commitment to provide

treatment services that are culturally relevant to the drug court participants;

- present the information sharing systems that ensure the local drug court effort is fully coordinated;
- describe the management information system(s) which will provide Drug Court Partnership Program outcome measure data; and
- identify the county's commitment and ability to provide data on Drug Court Partnership Program outcome measures including, but not limited to, the following:
 - 1) The annual number of misdemeanor and felony convictions of drug court participants for two years after entry into the drug court.
 - 2) The annual number of admissions to county jail and state prison of drug court participants for at least two years after entry into the drug court.
 - 3) The drug court participant retention rate.
 - 4) The drug court participant re-arrest rate during the two years prior to entry into the drug court,
 - excluding traffic violations other than driving under the influence, reckless driving, and willful evasion of a police officer.
 - 5) The drug court participant re-arrest rate during the first year after entry into the drug court,
 - excluding traffic violations other than driving under the influence, reckless driving, and willful evasion of a police officer.
 - 6) The drug court participant re-arrest rate during two years after entry into the drug court,
 - excluding traffic violations other than driving under the influence, reckless driving, and willful evasion of a police officer.
 - 7) Drug-free babies born during participation in the drug court.
 - 8) Demographic information.
 - 9) Medical information.
 - 10) Psychiatric information.
 - 11) Employment/support information.
 - 12) Drug and alcohol use information.
 - 13) Family and social status information.

E. Allowable Costs

This program is intended to support activities directly related to each county's multi-agency plan. Program funds may not be used to supplant the existing funding for *any* drug court-related activity or substance abuse treatment. However, with proper documentation, proving that no supplantation or redirection has

occurred, these funds may be used to support activities directly related to a County's multi-agency plan.

Allowable costs are those directly related to a County's multi-agency plan. They may include, but are not limited to, the following:

Treatment-Related Costs

- Assessment
- Acupuncture
- Child care
- Client transportation
- Clothing stipends
- Data collection costs
- Day-care rehabilitative substance abuse treatment
- Detoxification
- Drug court case manager(s)
- Drug testing
- Housing stipends
- Job placement
- Non-residential treatment
- Public prevention counseling
- Residential treatment
- Vocational counseling

Court-Related Costs

- data collection costs
- equipment, i.e. computer, modem, printer, etc.
- facilities
- personnel costs
- supplies
- training

In summary, costs that are directly related to the county's multi-agency plan and currently unfunded by other resources may be supported by Drug Court Partnership Program funds. Costs that are related to the county's multi-agency plan, for which funds are already available to the court from other funding sources are not to be supported by Drug Court Partnership Program funds but may be utilized as match.

All items purchased with program funds must be entered into the county's normal accounting system. All purchasing and personnel records pertaining to these funds must be retained for three years from the date of final payment under this program and are subject to audit.

F. Reporting Requirements

Periodic reports containing status narratives, data summaries, and expenditure updates on each project will be due 30 days after the close of the first three periods of each year. Annual reports containing information on the fourth period and full summaries of total yearly expenditures will be due 30 days after the close of each 12 month project year.

Participation in a drug court data collection system for a cross-site program evaluation is mandatory. The Department, in collaboration with the Judicial Council, is responsible for the evaluation design, data collection system, and input format. Each funded county will receive data collection instructions and forms. Each awardee will be required to provide the data elements listed in section V.D.

A final project report and expenditure summary covering 48 months will be required 60 days after the close of the program period.

G. Matching Funds Required

A local support match² of 10 percent in project years 1 and 2 and 20 percent in project years 3 and 4 is required by the Drug Court Partnership Act of 1998. The match may be either in-kind³ or cash.⁴ Any county resources identified as match for this program must be directly and exclusively spent on activities supporting the county's multi-agency plan. This match requirement is in lieu of, and not in addition to, the usual 10 percent match to General Funds outlined within Health and Safety Code Sections 11840, 11840.1, and 11987.4.

Scoring preference will be given to those applications showing a match in excess of the required percentages. Awardees will be required to track and report to ADP on all resources, cash or in-kind, presented within the application as match. Applicants are responsible to develop local tracking mechanisms allowing full and accurate reporting of match throughout the program period.

H. Method of Disbursements

Funds will be awarded via amendments to the existing Negotiated Net Amount or County Combined Contracts. Payments for Drug Court Partnership Program expenditures will be included within normal county contract payments. All expenditures within this program will be subject to the same rules, regulations, and procedures as other county contract funds.

²The match is defined as identified local funds or in-kind resources devoted to supporting the county-wide multi-agency plan. As allowed by law and regulation, match funds may include federal funds, state funds, county general fund, city general fund, private funding, etc.

³ In-kind means specific dedicated salaries, office space, equipment, supplies, etc. directed in support of the multi-agency plan.

⁴ Cash means specific funds dedicated to support the multi-agency plan.

I. Page Limitations

The application, multi-agency plan, will consist of each county's phase I and phase II submissions under this RFA. In total, the application, inclusive of its attachments, may not exceed 50 pages. Applications which exceed the page limits will have the pages in excess of 50 pages removed from the application package prior to review and scoring.

J. Submission of Applications

An unbound original and four unbound copies of the application package with all attachments must be submitted to the name and address shown in Section I. Applications submitted through facsimile, electronic transfer, or on diskette will not be accepted.

K. Selection for Funding Process

Each phase I submission will receive a pass/fail technical review by ADP staff **and the Judicial Council**. This review will ensure that the following requirements have been fulfilled:

1. Phase I submission must be unbound;
2. There must be 4 unbound copies;
3. All pages must have a header identifying county and application;
4. All pages must be standard white 8 1/2" x 11" paper;
5. All pages must be typewritten and legible;
6. All pages must be single sided;
7. All pages must be consecutively numbered;
8. Phase I submission must be complete;
9. Phase I submission must be responsive to the RFA;
10. County Alcohol and Drug Program Office and the Presiding Judge(s) are the applicants;
11. There must be a synopsis of the proposal;
12. Pertinent assurances and certifications must be included;
13. Appropriate signatures must be obtained;
14. Documentation of collaboration or partnership group must be included;
15. Explanation of the maintenance of effort must be included;
16. Description of the current drug court must be included;
17. A needs statement must be included;
18. A report of the current data collection capabilities must be included; and
19. A project budget must be included.
20. Matching funds budget and narrative must be included.

The Department **and the Judicial Council** will review all phase I submissions, alert those applicants which fail to meet any of the RFA requirements, and will accept revisions to phase I submissions until April 19, 1999. Applicants are ultimately responsible to meet the

requirements of the Request For Applications.

The phase I submission will be combined with the phase II submission to create a complete application (multi-agency plan). Applications which pass ADP's technical review will receive a full review by a committee composed of:

Judicial Council staff or other Judicial Council designated reviewers and ADP staff.

The committee will score all of the applications as a group without consideration of county categories. The committee will score each application in the following areas for a total of 100 possible points.

- ❖ Goals and Objectives (35 Points)
- ❖ Project Design (50 Points)
- ❖ Exceeds Match, **statutory and Executive Steering Committee requirement as identified in the RFA guideline attached.** (15 Points)

Generally applications will be scored on the quality of the application according to these uniform selection criteria:

- supportiveness of the proposed project to the statewide evaluation,
- conceptual viability of the proposed project, and
- completeness and comprehensiveness of application.

Applications receiving a final score of 80 percent of the total possible points, without scoring less than 50 percent of the possible points within Goals and Objectives or Project Design, will be eligible for funding.

The eligible applications will be separated by county category and ranked within the categories.

Applications will be funded by rank within each category until the funds allotted to each category are awarded. (See Section V.A.)

VI. RESULTS NOTIFICATION

The Department will notify all applicants whether applications passed or failed the Technical Review. Those applicants, whose application successfully passed the Technical Review, will be notified of their application's score, rank, and funding status at the end of the RFA Review Process.

VII. APPLICATION PACKAGE

The application package may be organized in whatever fashion best presents the proposed project. However every application must contain the following clearly titled areas and each area must be submitted at the assigned submission phase.

Areas to be included in phase I submissions include:

COVER PAGE

The cover page is to include the county(ies) applying; the total annual sum requested; the grand total requested over the 48-months; a brief synopsis of the proposal; and the name, title, and signatures of the County Alcohol and Drug Program Administrator and Presiding Judge(s) of the trial courts in the county. A sample cover page is provided in Attachment C.

ASSURANCES AND CERTIFICATIONS

Signatures of the County Alcohol and Drug Program Administrator and the Presiding Judge(s) verifying the required assurances and certifications listed in Attachment D.

DOCUMENTATION OF COLLABORATION OR PARTNERSHIP GROUP

A description of the agencies working in collaboration or partnership on the local drug court effort. A delineation of the roles and responsibilities of the collaborative partners within the existing drug court(s). A statement of each partner's commitments to the local drug court effort. A presentation of activities; meetings, case conferences, training, etc., that foster and maintain the collaboration of agencies within the partnership. A statement of each partner's commitment to support the County Alcohol and Drug Program Administrator and drug court in providing information for the required periodic reports and cross-site evaluation. Signatures of all partners confirming the commitments presented.

DESCRIPTION OF CURRENT DRUG COURT

A description of all the existing drug court(s) within the county(ies) applying for funds with discussion regarding whether or not the existing drug court(s) function within the standards established within *Defining Drug Courts: The Key Components*.⁵ A summary of the current culturally relevant continuum of treatment services available to drug court participants. A presentation of the current investment of funds and resources to support the existing drug court(s). An explanation of

⁵ *Defining Drug Courts: The Key Components*
US Department of Justice, Office of Justice Programs, Drug Courts Program Office.
633 Indiana Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20531
(800) 421-6770
<http://www.ojp.usdoj.gov/dcpo/>

the existing information sharing systems that ensure the local drug court effort is fully coordinated between collaborating agencies.

STATEMENT OF NEED

A breakdown of the drug court specific unmet need for all agencies within the partnership. This presentation of unmet need should clearly identify the resources and/or funds necessary to fully meet the existing drug court need; the activities, personnel, or items to be supported; and each agency which would receive such resources or funding. It is recommended that this discussion be divided into treatment-related needs and court-related needs. The Department is prepared to provide technical assistance to drug courts funded under this program and applicants are requested to include possible technical assistance needs in this portion of the application.

DATA COLLECTION CAPABILITIES

The current existing capacity for the county alcohol and drug program agency, the drug court, or other partners to provide data to ADP on the following outcome measures.

- 1) The annual number of misdemeanor and felony convictions of drug court participants for two years after entry into the drug court.
- 2) The annual number of admissions to county jail and state prison of drug court participants for at least two years after entry into the drug court.
- 3) The drug court participant retention rate.
- 4) The drug court participant re-arrest rate during the two years prior to entry into the drug court,
 - excluding traffic violations other than driving under the influence, reckless driving, and willful evasion of a police officer.
- 5) The drug court participant re-arrest rate during the first year after entry into the drug court,
 - excluding traffic violations other than driving under the influence, reckless driving, and willful evasion of a police officer.
- 6) The drug court participant re-arrest rate during two years after entry into the drug court,
 - excluding traffic violations other than driving under the influence, reckless driving, and willful evasion of a police officer.
- 7) Drug free babies born during participation in the drug court.
- 8) Demographic information.

- 9) Medical information.
- 10) Psychiatric information.
- 11) Employment/support information.
- 12) Drug and alcohol use information.
- 13) Family and social status information.

Please note that drug courts or county alcohol and drug program agencies performing either Addiction Severity Index (ASI) or ASI-Lite assessments are gathering data on outcome measures #8, #9, #10, #11, #12, and #13.

PROJECT BUDGET

A line item budget for each of the four project years, which contains personnel costs, fringe benefits, travel, equipment, supplies, training contractual services, indirect, etc.. The budgets should delineate between funds supporting treatment costs and funds supporting court costs.

A narrative explaining and justifying the expenditures detailed within the line item budget. The narrative is to include the equations or allocation methodology used to determine or project expenditures.

PROJECT MATCH

A line item presentation of the match for each of the four project years, which identifies the type of match: the source of match; and the service, personnel, and items provided through the match. The presentation should delineate between match related to treatment costs and match related to court costs.

A narrative explaining the match detailed within the line item presentation. The narrative is to include the equations or allocation methodology used to determine the match presented.

Areas to be included in phase II submissions are:

PROJECT GOALS AND OBJECTIVES

A listing of the goals for the project and the objectives that will be implemented to meet those goals. Project goals are to be linked to and must reflect the project design.

PROJECT DESIGN

A narrative describing the drug court(s) and activities to be supported by program funds.

This section will include, but is not limited to, the following information:

- The number of drug court participants to be served with the new funds. This will include participants who will receive enhanced services provided by program funds.

- The number of additional drug court participants to be served with the new funds. This number is limited to additional capacity for the drug court and does not include participants who will receive enhanced services provided by program funds.
- A description of the drug court to be funded under the program.
- A description of the partnerships and collaborative efforts within the drug court to be funded under the program.
- A description of the activities to be supported by program funds.
- A description of how the project will be administered. Identification of the party(ies) who are responsible to liaison with ADP and **the Judicial Council**, provide periodic report information, and provide outcome measure data.
- A description of the management information system(s) which will provide the required program outcome measure information listed in section V.D..

EXCESS MATCH

A statement of the amount of match offered in excess of the required 10 percent in years 1 and 2 and 20 percent in years 3 and 4.

ATTACHMENT A

The Drug Court Partnership Act of 1998

SB 1587 Public social services: drug courts.

BILL NUMBER: SB 1587

CHAPTERED 09/30/98

BILL TEXT

CHAPTER 1007

PASSED THE SENATE AUGUST 31, 1998

PASSED THE ASSEMBLY AUGUST 31, 1998

AMENDED IN ASSEMBLY AUGUST 24, 1998

AMENDED IN ASSEMBLY AUGUST 10, 1998

INTRODUCED BY Senator Alpert and Assembly Member Baca

(Coauthor: Senator Lockyer)

(Principal coauthor: Assembly Member Ducheny)

FEBRUARY 11, 1998

An act to add Article 3 (commencing with Section 11970) to Chapter 2 of Part 3 of Division 10.5 of the Health and Safety Code, relating to public social services, and declaring the urgency thereof, to take effect immediately.

(Approved by Governor September 29, 1998. Filed with Secretary of State September 30, 1998.)

I am signing Senate Bill No. 1587 with a reduction.

This bill would require the Department of Alcohol and Drug Programs to establish the Drug Court Partnership Program and assess the cost-effectiveness of drug courts. This bill specifies the criteria to be used in awarding grants to develop and implement the program. However, this bill includes an appropriation in excess of what is needed to maintain a two percent reserve. Therefore, I am reducing the appropriation contained in this bill by \$4,000,000. **The revised appropriation shall be \$4,000,000.**

PETE WILSON, Governor

LEGISLATIVE COUNSEL'S DIGEST

SB 1587, Alpert. Public social services: drug courts. Under existing law, the State Department of Alcohol and Drug Programs is responsible for administering, coordinating, and funding a number of drug and alcohol treatment and prevention programs in conjunction with both state agencies and local governments. Existing law also provides that, subject to certain restrictions, criminal actions involving specified drug offenses may, upon a determination by the prosecutor, be referred to a deferred entry of judgment program, where upon successful

completion of a drug court program, charges against a defendant may be dismissed.

This bill would establish a Drug Court Partnership program, administered by the State Department of Alcohol and Drug Programs, for the purpose of demonstrating the effectiveness of drug courts. The bill would establish a competitive grants program to which county alcohol and drug program administrators may submit grant requests as part of multiagency plans that identify the resources and strategies needed for effective drug court programs. The bill would establish specified components of these submitted plans, and define standards for the awarding of grants. The bill would require the department, together with the Judicial Council, to submit reports to the Legislature.

The bill would appropriate \$8,000,000 from the General Fund to the department to be expended for purposes of the Drug Court Partnership program. The bill would also declare the intent of the Legislature to fund the Drug Court Partnership program for specified fiscal years.

This bill would declare that it is to take effect immediately as an urgency statute.

Appropriation: yes.

SECTION 1. Article 3 (commencing with Section 11970) is added to Chapter 2 of Part 3 of Division 10.5 of the Health and Safety Code, to read:

Article 3. Drug Courts

11970. (a) This article shall be known and may be cited as the **Drug Court Partnership Act of 1998**.

(b) The Drug Court Partnership shall be administered by the State Department of Alcohol and Drug Programs for the purpose of demonstrating the cost-effectiveness of drug courts operating pursuant to Sections 1000 to 1000.4, inclusive, of the Penal Code, and for any defendant who has entered a plea of guilty and is on active probation. The department shall design and implement the program with the concurrence of the Judicial Council.

(1) This program shall award grants on a competitive basis for four years to counties that develop and implement drug court programs operating pursuant to Sections 1000 to 1000.4, inclusive, of the Penal Code, and for any defendant who has entered a plea of guilty and is on active probation which are likely to provide the greatest public safety benefit and be most effective in reducing state and local costs.

- (2) To be eligible for this grant, the county alcohol and drug program administrator and the presiding judge shall submit a multiagency plan that identifies the resources and strategies for providing an effective drug court program. The department, in collaboration with the Judicial Council, shall establish minimum criteria for evaluating the plans.
- (c) The plan shall include, but not be limited to, the following components:
- (1) Development of information-sharing systems to ensure that county actions are fully coordinated, and to provide data for measuring the success of the grantee in achieving its goals.
 - (2) Identification of outcome measures, which shall include, but not be limited to, the following:
 - (A) The annual number of misdemeanor and felony convictions of persons participating in the program for a minimum of two years after entry into the program.
 - (B) The annual number of admissions to county jail and state prison of persons participating in the program for a minimum of two years after entry into the program.
 - (C) Other outcome measures identified by the department and the Judicial Council that will assist in determining the cost-effectiveness of the program.
- (d) The department, in collaboration with the Judicial Council, shall award grants that provide funding for four years, subject to appropriation in the Budget Act. Funding shall be used to supplement, rather than supplant, existing programs.
- (1) Grant funds shall be used for programs that are identified in the local plan. Acceptable uses may include, but are not limited to, the following: drug court coordinators, training, drug testing, treatment, transportation, and other costs related to the implementation of the plan.
 - (2) No grant shall be awarded unless the applicant makes available resources in an amount equal to at least 10 percent of the amount of the grant in years one and two, and 20 percent of the amount of the grant in years three and four.
- (e) The department, with concurrence from the Judicial Council, shall establish minimum standards for use of funds in drug courts operating pursuant to Sections 1000 to 1000.4, inclusive, of the Penal Code, and for any defendant who has entered a plea of guilty and is on active probation, funding schedules, and procedures for awarding grants, which shall take into consideration, but not be limited to, all of the following:
- (1) The number of participants who will be served in the program.
 - (2) Demonstrated commitment to exceed the minimum match requirement, such as in-kind contributions from participating agencies.
 - (3) Demonstrated ability to provide treatment to clients who will be served through the program.
 - (4) Demonstrated capacity to administer the program.
 - (5) Demonstrated ability to report outcome measures for program participants and for participants in other comparable drug court programs administered in the county.
 - (6) Demonstrated commitment to the program of participating local agencies and the court.
 - (7) Demonstrated commitment by the drug court to meet the standard of judicial administration.

(f) The department, in collaboration with the Judicial Council, shall create an evaluation design for the Drug Court Partnership that will assess the effectiveness of the program. The department, together with the Judicial Council, shall develop an interim report to be submitted to the Legislature on or before March 1, 2000, and a final analysis of the grant program in a report to be submitted to the Legislature on or before March 1, 2002.

SEC. 2. (a) The sum of eight million dollars (\$8,000,000) is hereby appropriated from the General Fund to the State Department of Alcohol and Drug Programs to be expended for the purposes of the Drug Court Partnership Act of 1998 as set forth in Article 3 (commencing with Section 11970) of Chapter 2 of Part 3 of Division 10.5 of the Health and Safety Code.

(b) Up to 5 percent of the amount appropriated by subdivision (a) is available to the State Department of Alcohol and Drug Programs to administer the program, including technical assistance to counties and the development of an evaluation component.

SEC. 3. For the purpose of funding the Drug Court Partnership Act, it is the intent of the Legislature that eight million dollars (\$8,000,000) shall be appropriated in the Budget Act in each of the following three fiscal years: 1999-2000, 2000-2001, and 2001-2002.

SEC. 4. This act is an urgency statute necessary for the immediate preservation of the public peace, health, or safety within the meaning of Article IV of the Constitution and shall go into immediate effect. The facts constituting the necessity are:

In order for funding to be available for implementation of the Drug Court Partnership Act of 1998 at the earliest possible time, it is necessary that this act take effect immediately.

ATTACHMENT B

List of Counties by Category

**DRUG COURT PARTNERSHIP PROGRAM REQUEST FOR APPLICATIONS
COUNTY CATEGORY LIST**

MINIMUM BASE & MEDIUM

Alpine
Amador
Butte
Calaveras
Colusa
Del Norte
El Dorado
Glenn
Humboldt
Imperial
Inyo
Kern
Kings
Lake
Lassen
Madera
Marin
Mariposa
Mendocino
Merced
Modoc
Mono
Monterey
Napa
Nevada
Placer
Plumas
San Benito
San Joaquin
San Luis Obispo
San Mateo
Santa Barbara
Santa Cruz
Shasta
Sierra

Alameda
Contra Costa
Fresno
Los Angeles
Orange
Riverside
Sacramento
San Bernardino
San Diego
San Francisco
Santa Clara

LARGE

Siskiyou
Solano
Sonoma
Stanislaus
Sutter
Tehama
Trinity
Tulare
Tuolumne
Ventura
Yolo
Yuba

ATTACHMENT C

Sample Cover Page

Drug Court Partnership Program Application
_____ County

The California Department of Alcohol and Drug Programs
In Collaboration With The California Judicial Council

Drug Court Partnership Program Request For Applications

_____ **COUNTY(IES) APPLICATION COVER PAGE**

Annual Amount Requested

Total Amount Requested Across The 48-Months Project

Breif Synopsis Of Proposed Project

_____ Name	_____ Title, County Alcohol and Drug Program Administrator	_____ Date	_____ Name	_____ Title Presiding Judge	_____ Date
---------------	--	---------------	---------------	-----------------------------------	---------------

ATTACHMENT D

Assurances and Certifications

Drug Court Partnership Program Request For Applications

A Special Requirements and Assurances

The County Alcohol and Drug Program Administrator and Presiding Judge(s) hereby assures and certifies compliance with the following provisions of the Drug Court Partnership Program authorized by the Drug Court Partnership Act of 1998.

1. Assurance that the defendants participating in the drug court are eligible under Penal Code 1000, Subdivision (a), paragraphs (1) to (6), inclusively.
2. Assurance that all treatment programs and providers utilized in the drug court program are licensed, certified, or accredited by the appropriate State or County government agency, as defined in Penal Code 1000, Subdivision C.
3. Assurance that the county and drug court will actively participate in the cross-site evaluation of the Drug Court Partnership Program.
4. Assurance that all members of the drug court team will participate in a peer review of another drug court within the Drug Court Partnership Program.
5. Assurance that funds received under this program will be used to supplement, not supplant, other Federal, State, local, or private funds.
6. Assurance that the applicant will give the Department of Alcohol and Drug Programs or the Comptroller General, through any authorized representative, access to and the right to examine all records, books, papers, or documents related to the drug court and services provided in support of the drug court.
7. Assurance that the applicant will comply with all requirements imposed by the Department of Alcohol and Drug Programs concerning special requirements of law, program requirements and other administrative requirements.

_____	_____	_____
Name:	Title:	Date
_____	_____	_____
Name:	Title:	Date
_____	_____	_____
Name:	Title:	Date
_____	_____	_____
Name:	Title:	Date